

United States Patent and Trademark Office

m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/26/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/680,277	10/06/2000	Je Hong Kim	2658-0242P	8486	
7	590 12/26/2002				
Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
PO Box 747 Falls Church, VA 22040-0747			RUDE, TIN	RUDE, TIMOTHY L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AL		
	Application No.	pplicant(s)			
. Advisory Action	09/680,277	KIM ET AL.			
•	Examin r	Art Unit			
· ·	Timothy L Rude	2871			
-Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess		
THE REPLY FILED 03 December 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appl	ication. A proper reploich places the application	y to a ation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Se	e MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	ne fee. The appropriate extend the final Office action; or (2	nsion fee under 2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	S.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		nsidered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLEL'	Y to issues which were	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •	•	nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>6,9,10,12 and 17</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7,8,11,13-16 and 18</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disar	proved by the Exami	ner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:	RØ SUPERVISO	DERT H. KIM BY PATENT ELIAMINER LOGY CENTER 2800			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/680,277

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amenment addresses the rejection based upon Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (APA), beginning on page 3 of the Final Rejection, but it fails to overcome rejections based upon APA in view of Oyama et al, beginning on page 4 of th Final Rejection.